

**PLANNING AND DEVELOPMENT CONTROL COMMITTEE**  
**Addendum 18.04.2023**

REG REF.	ADDRESS	WARD	PAGE
<b>2022/03329/FR3</b>	<b>11 Farm Lane</b>	<b>Lillie</b>	<b>9</b>
Page 43	<p>Condition 43, Plaque add the following to the end of the condition:            “The removal and storage of the plaque shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details. The reinstatement of the plaque shall be carried out prior to the first occupation of the development and it shall be permanently retained thereafter as approved.”</p>		
Page 50	<p>Paragraph 7.3 iii. Highway Works add sentence:            “To enter into a s278 agreement to secure these works to the highway.”</p>		
<b>2021/02919/FUL</b>	<b>58 Uxbridge Road</b>	<b>Shepherd’s Bush Green</b>	<b>53</b>
Page 55	<p>Add the following conditions:</p> <p><u>Condition 08</u> The rear door to the extension hereby approved shall be used for emergency escape purposes only and shall remain closed at all other times.</p> <p>To safeguard the amenities of occupiers of neighbouring residential properties in terms of noise nuisance and disturbance, in accordance with policies DC1, DC2, DC8, CC11, and CC13 of the Local Plan (2018).</p> <p><u>Condition 09</u> The extension hereby approved shall only be used as ancillary space to the existing ground floor and basement premises and shall not be used as a separate unit.</p> <p>To safeguard the amenities of occupiers of neighbouring residential properties in terms of noise, disturbance, car parking and traffic from noise generating uses, in accordance with policies HRA, DC1, DC2, DC5, DC8 TC1, TC2, CC11, CC13, TLC5 of the Local Plan (2018).</p> <p><u>Condition 10</u> Notwithstanding the provision of the Town and Country Planning (Use Classes) (Amendment) (England) Regulations 2020 (or any Order revoking or re-enacting that principle Order with or without modification), the unit shall be limited to retail use within Class E (a) and E (c) and shall not be used for any other use within Class E.</p> <p>Certain other uses within the same use class may be unacceptable due to effect on residential amenity and/or traffic generation, in accordance with Policies DC1, DC4, HO11, CC11, CC13 and T1 of the Local Plan (2018).</p>		
Page 58	<p>Add additional paragraph:</p> <p>‘3.3 The existing unit is in use as an E class premises providing services such as printing, photocopying, internet access, scanning, lamination, phone and</p>		

computer accessories and repairs and money transfers. The extension will provide ancillary floorspace (around 19sqm) for the existing unit.'

<b>2022/01598/VAR</b>	<b>330-332 Uxbridge Road</b>	<b>White City</b>	<b>63</b>
Page 64	Officer recommendation 2: Delete 'Head of Law' and replace with 'Assistant Director of Legal Services'		
Page 66	Add the following conditions		
<u>Condition 10</u>	<p>The existing retail unit as indicated on approved drawing (Nos: UR/1554/1) of 2011/02814/FUL shall be permanently retained for retail use (Class A1) and for no other purpose.</p> <p>To ensure that the vitality or viability of the shopping function of the area is not harmed and to protect the existing amenities of occupiers of neighbouring residential properties in accordance with Policies CC11, TLC4 and TLC5 of the Local Plan 2018 and Key Principle NN4 of the Supplementary Planning Document Feb 2018.</p>		
<u>Condition 11</u>	<p>The use of the premises, hereby approved, shall only be permitted during the hours of 9am - 11pm Mondays to Saturdays and 10am - 4pm on Sundays and Bank Holidays.</p> <p>To ensure that the amenity of occupiers of surrounding premises are not adversely affected in accordance with Policies CC11 and TLC5 of the Council's Local Plan 2018</p>		
<b>2023/00516/OPDOBS</b>	<b>Old Oak Common Lane</b>	<b>College Park and Old Oak</b>	<b>75</b>
Page 82	<p>Replace wording of paragraph 4.3 with the following revised wording: "4.3. Officers have not received any representations relating to the current schedule 17 proposals submitted to the OPDC, but the OPDC itself has received an objection from a local resident (not a resident of H&amp;F), partly on the grounds that, in their view, the application does not have enough detail to justify its proposals.</p>		